

subsequently provided the privilege log.

Although Plaintiff wants this Court to enter an order directing Defendant to produce other documents, Plaintiff's brief fails to clearly articulate the nature of the discovery dispute, what documents Plaintiff seeks, and what documents Plaintiff seeks an order compelling. In fact, Plaintiff simply states the Court should order Defendant to comply with Plaintiff's discovery requests. A proper brief in support of a motion to compel the production of documents should, at the very least, state: (1) the discovery request at issue and what it requested; (2) any objection to the requests; (3) explain what documents the party serving the discovery contends that remain in the possession of the opposing party; (4) explain how such documents are relevant; and (5) provide some form of legal argument as to why the opposing side should be required to produce the documents. Finally, any argument must be contained in the brief, not the motion. Plaintiff's brief fails to set forth this information, rendering the Court unable to resolve its Motion to Compel. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the Motion to Compel **without prejudice** [# 11]. Upon the filing of a proper motion to compel and supporting legal brief that clearly and intelligently sets forth the discovery dispute at issue, the Court will consider whether the entry of an order compelling the production of documents is appropriate in this case.

Signed: July 22, 2012

Dennis L. Howell

Dennis L. Howell
United States Magistrate Judge

